1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California		
2	STEVEN V. ADLER Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	DOUGLAS LEE, State Bar No. 222806 Deputy Attorney General		
4	California Department of Justice 110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100		
5	San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266		
7	San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2580 Examination (619) 645-2061		
8	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
9	Attorneys for Complainant		
10	BEFORE THE RESPIRATORY CARE BOARD DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
11	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. R-2104	
13	ROBERT M. VALENZUELA, R.C.P.	ACCUSATION	
14	24836 Tigris Lane Hemet CA 92544		
15			
16	Respiratory Care Practitioner License No. 18345		
17	Respondent.		
18	Complainant alleges:		
19	<u>PARTIES</u>		
20	Stephanie Nunez (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her		
21	official capacity as the Executive Officer of the Respiratory Care Board of California,		
22	Department of Consumer Affairs.		
23	2. On or about October 27, 1995	, the Respiratory Care Board issued	
24	Respiratory Care Practitioner (R.C.P.) License No.18345 to ROBERT M. VALENZUELA,		
25	R.C.P. (Respondent). The Respiratory Care Practitioner License was in full force and effect at		
26	all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on October 31, 2008, unless		
27	renewed.		
28	///		

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Respiratory Care Board (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- Section 3710 of the Code states: "The Respiratory Care Board of
 California, hereafter referred to as the board, shall enforce and administer this chapter [Chapter
 8.3, the Respiratory Care Practice Act]."
- 5. Section 3718 of the Code states: "The board shall issue, deny, suspend, and revoke licenses to practice respiratory care as provided in this chapter."
 - 6. Section 3750 of the Code states:

"The board may order the denial, suspension or revocation of, or the imposition of probationary conditions upon, a license issued under this chapter, for any of the following causes:

- "(f) Negligence in his or her practice as a respiratory care practitioner."
- "(g) Conviction of a violation of any of the provisions of this chapter or of any provision of Division 2 (commencing with Section 500), or violating, or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision or term of this chapter or of any provision of Division 2 (commencing with Section 500)."
 - 7. Section 3755 of the Code states:

"The board may take action against any respiratory care practitioner who is charged with unprofessional conduct in administering, or attempting to administer, direct or indirect respiratory care. Unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, repeated acts of clearly administering directly or indirectly inappropriate or unsafe respiratory care procedures, protocols, therapeutic regimens, or diagnostic testing or monitoring techniques, and violation of any provision of Section 3750. The board may determine unprofessional conduct involving any and all aspects of respiratory care performed by anyone licensed as a respiratory care practitioner."

28 ///

///

8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1399.370, states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension, or revocation of a license, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a respiratory care practitioner, if it evidences present or potential unfitness of a licensee to perform the functions authorized by his or her license or in a manner inconsistent with the public health, safety, or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to those involving the following:

"(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting or abetting the violation of or conspiring to violate any provision or term of the Act.

COST RECOVERY

9. Section 3753.5, subdivision (a) of the Code states:

"In any order issued in resolution of a disciplinary proceeding before the board, the board or the administrative law judge may direct any practitioner or applicant found to have committed a violation or violations of law to pay to the board a sum not to exceed the costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case. A certified copy of the actual costs, or a good faith estimate of costs where actual costs are not available, signed by the official custodian of the record or his or her designated representative shall be prima facie evidence of the actual costs of the investigation and prosecution of the case."

- 10. Section 3753.7 of the Code provides that for purposes of the Respiratory Care Practice Act, costs of prosecution shall include attorney general or other prosecuting attorney fees, expert witness fees, and other administrative, filing, and service fees.
 - 11. Section 3753.1 of the Code states:
- "(a) An administrative disciplinary decision imposing terms of probation may include, among other things, a requirement that the licensee-probationer pay the monetary costs associated with monitoring the probation."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Negligence)

12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 3750, subdivision (f) of the Code, in that he committed negligence in his practice as a respiratory care practitioner, as more particularly alleged hereinafter:

- (a) On or about March 26, 2002, patient U.G., a 60-year-old male, was admitted to St. Bernardine Medical Center in San Bernardino, California, with heart problems.
- (b) On or about March 28, 2002, heart catheterization was performed to assess the extent of patient U.G.'s heart damage.
- (c) On or about March 29, 2002, patient U.G. underwent four Coronary Artery Bypass Grafting [CABG] surgeries.
- (d) Following surgery, patient U.G. was placed on a ventilator to augment his breathing.
- (e) Orders were in place to slowly "wean or discontinue patient U.G. from the ventilator.
- (f) On or about March 30, 2007, respondent began to wean patient U.G. off of the ventilator pursuant to the orders.
- (g) At approximately 1:00 p.m., patient U.G. had a respiratory rate greater than 30 breaths per minute. Patient U.G.'s heart rate and blood pressure were elevated. Patient U.G. continued to have a low-grade fever and was coughing out abnormal amounts of colored sputum. Soft restraints had to be placed on patient U.G.'s hands as he was anxious, combative and/or aggressive.
- (h) At approximately 2:10 p.m., respondent complied with orders from another doctor to discontinue mechanical ventilation by removing the breathing tube from patient U.G..
- (i) At approximately 4:00 p.m., respondent delivered a positive pressure breathing treatment to patient U.G..

28 ///

1	(j)	At approximately 4:30 p.m., while repositing patient U.G. during a bed	
2	linen change, patient U.G. suffered a cardiopulmonary arrest. Patient U.G.'s heart and breathing		
3	ceased. Patient U.G. required reinsertion of a breath tube or reintubation. Medications were		
4	delivered to restart the patient's heart rate.		
5	(k)	A blood sample [Arterial Blood Gas or ABG], taken at the time of arrest	
6	revealed acute respiratory and metabolic acidosis.		
7	(1)	Patient U.G. ultimately suffered a hypoxic/anoxic brain injury that resulted	
8	in a persistent vegetative state.		
9	(m)	Respondent committed acts of negligence in his care and treatment of	
10	patient U.G., which included, but was not limited to, the following:		
11	(1)	Failing to perform a post-extubation arterial blood gas; and	
12	(2)	Failing to communicate with the registered nurse or physician as to the	
13	declining cardio-pulmonary status of patient U.G		
14	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE		
15		(Unprofessional Conduct)	
15 16	13.	(Unprofessional Conduct) Respondent is subject to discipline under section 3755 of the Code in that	
16	he engaged in unpro	Respondent is subject to discipline under section 3755 of the Code in that	
16 17	he engaged in unpro	Respondent is subject to discipline under section 3755 of the Code in that fessional conduct, as more particularly alleged in paragraph 12, above, which	
16 17 18	he engaged in unpro	Respondent is subject to discipline under section 3755 of the Code in that fessional conduct, as more particularly alleged in paragraph 12, above, which	
16 17 18 19	he engaged in unpro	Respondent is subject to discipline under section 3755 of the Code in that fessional conduct, as more particularly alleged in paragraph 12, above, which	
16 17 18 19 20	he engaged in unpro is hereby incorporate	Respondent is subject to discipline under section 3755 of the Code in that fessional conduct, as more particularly alleged in paragraph 12, above, which	
16 17 18 19 20 21	he engaged in unpro is hereby incorporate /// /// ///	Respondent is subject to discipline under section 3755 of the Code in that fessional conduct, as more particularly alleged in paragraph 12, above, which	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	he engaged in unpro is hereby incorporate /// /// /// ///	Respondent is subject to discipline under section 3755 of the Code in that fessional conduct, as more particularly alleged in paragraph 12, above, which	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	he engaged in unpro is hereby incorporate /// /// /// /// /// ///	Respondent is subject to discipline under section 3755 of the Code in that fessional conduct, as more particularly alleged in paragraph 12, above, which	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	he engaged in unpro is hereby incorporate /// /// /// /// /// /// ///	Respondent is subject to discipline under section 3755 of the Code in that fessional conduct, as more particularly alleged in paragraph 12, above, which	
16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	he engaged in unpro is hereby incorporate /// /// /// /// /// /// ///	Respondent is subject to discipline under section 3755 of the Code in that fessional conduct, as more particularly alleged in paragraph 12, above, which	

1	<u>PRAYER</u>		
2	WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein		
3	alleged, and that following the hearing, the Respiratory Care Board issue a decision:		
4	1. Revoking or suspending Respiratory Care Practitioner License No.18345,		
5	issued to ROBERT M. VALENZUELA, R.C.P.;		
6	2. Ordering Robert M. Valenzuela, R.C.P. to pay the Respiratory Care Board		
7	the costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs		
8	of probation monitoring; and		
9	3. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.		
10			
11			
12	DATED: September 25, 2007		
13			
14	Original signed by Liano Zimmorman for:		
15	Original signed by Liane Zimmerman for: STEPHANIE NUNEZ Executive Officer		
16	Respiratory Care Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs		
17	State of California Complainant		
18	Complamant		
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			